

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONSTER FILM LIMITED, a corporation,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.

GALLOPING ILLUSIONS PTY LTD., a  
corporation, CARLOS ALPERIN, an  
individual, ROHAM GHODSI, an  
individual, CHRISTIAN MARTINEN, an  
individual, and DOES 1-100, inclusive,  
Defendants.

CHRISTIAN MARTINEN, an individual,  
Counter-Claimant,  
vs.

MONSTER FILM LIMITED, a corporation,  
Counter-Defendant.

CHRISTIAN MARTINEN, an individual,  
Third-Party Plaintiff.  
vs.

GALLOPING ILLUSIONS PTY LTD., a  
corporation, ROHAM GHODSI, an  
individual, CARLOS ALPERIN, an  
individual, MAXIM KOZLOV, an  
individual, and MOES 1-100, inclusive,  
Third-Party Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16-CV-01414-ODW(KS)

Assigned to: Hon. Otis D. Wright II  
Courtroom: 11  
Complaint Filed: February 29, 2016  
Trial: None

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED  
JUDGMENT [206]**

1 The Court, having read and considered the Joint Stipulation re Entry of  
2 Judgment and Order that has been executed on behalf of Plaintiff Christian Martinen  
3 (“Plaintiff”), on the one hand, and Defendants Carlos Alperin, Roham Ghodsi, and  
4 Galloping Illusions (collectively “Defendants”), on the other hand, and good cause  
5 appearing therefore:

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

7 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this action and over the  
8 subject matter hereof pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1338. Service of process  
9 was properly made against Defendants.

10 2. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action and over the parties to  
11 this Action to entertain such further proceedings and to enter such further orders as  
12 may be necessary or appropriate to implement and enforce the Settlement  
13 Agreement among the parties and to enter and enforce the stipulated Judgment.

14 3. Each side shall bear its own fees and costs of suit.

15 4. The above-captioned action, shall, upon filing by Plaintiff of the  
16 Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation, and  
17 requesting entry of judgment against Defendants, be reopened should Defendants  
18 default under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

19  
20  
21  
22 October 3, 2018



23 Hon. Otis D. Wright, II  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28